

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 18 November 2002
Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Lower Hutt
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable
Title of Publication: Untitled aka Amature Young Ones
Other Known Title(s): Not Stated
Director(s): Not Stated
Producer(s): Not Stated
Publisher: Not Applicable
Author(s): Not Applicable
Format: Video Recording VHS/PAL
Country of Origin: Not Stated
Language(s): English

.....

Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Untitled aka Amature Young Ones	Running time:	184.09
Trailers:	Nil	Running time:	
		Total Running time:	184.09

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The untitled video recording also known as *Amature Young Ones* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The video recording comprises a number of components which predominantly depict women engaging in sexual activity with a focus on urination, and children of varying ages engaging in sexual activity with each other or with adults.

The publication has been considered under s3(2)(a) and s3(2)(d) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993. The publication presents material which implies that children and young persons are acceptable and viable sexual partners who enjoy engaging in sexual activity. The publication also presents the use of urine in association with sexual activity as a pleasurable and sexually arousing activity. The material on the video recording is intended to be used for the purposes of sexual arousal and titillation and therefore promotes and supports the exploitation of children and young persons for sexual purposes, and promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, but this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) are deemed to be objectionable.

OFLC Ref: 201574